

DISTRICT COURT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS
DIVISION OF ST. THOMAS AND ST. JOHN

WHITECAP INVESTMENT CORP. d/b/a/)
PARADISE LUMBER,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
)
 v.) Civil No. 2010-139
)
PUTNAM LUMBER & EXPORT COMPANY; PUTNAM)
FAMILY PROPERTIES, INC.; GREAT)
SOUTHERN WOOD PRESERVING, INC.; MIKE)
NOBLE; XYZ CORP.; JOHN DOE; and JANE)
DOE,)
)
 Defendants,)
)
 and)
)
GARY CHAPIN)
)
 Intervenor-defendant.)
)
)
PUTNAM FAMILY PROPERTIES, INC.,)
)
 Cross-claimant,)
)
 v.)
)
GREAT SOUTHERN WOOD PRESERVING, INC.,)
)
 Cross-claim defendant.)
)
)
PUTNAM LUMBER & EXPORT COMPANY,)
)
 Cross-claimant,)
)
 v.)
)
GREAT SOUTHERN WOOD PRESERVING, INC.,)
)
 Cross-claim defendant.)
)

MIKE NOBLE,)
)
Cross-claimant,)
)
v.)
)
GREAT SOUTHERN WOOD PRESERVING, INC.,)
)
Cross-claim defendant.)
)
<hr/>	
PUTNAM FAMILY PROPERTIES, INC.,)
)
Counterclaimant,)
)
v.)
)
WHITECAP INVESTMENT CORP. d/b/a)
PARADISE LUMBER,)
)
Counterclaim defendant.)
)

ATTORNEYS:

Alex Moskowitz, Esq.
Dudley, Topper & Feuerzeig
Christopher A. Kroblin, Esq.
Shari N. D'Andrade, Esq.
Erika A. Kellerhals, Esq.
Kellerhaals Ferguson Fletcher Kroblin LLP
St. Thomas, VI
*For the plaintiff/counterclaim defendant Whitecap
Investment Corp. d/b/a Paradise Lumber,*

Lisa M. Kömives, Esq.
Bolt Nagi PC
St. Thomas, VI
Robert A. Carlson, Esq.
Lee, Hernandez, Landrum, Garofalo and Blake APC
Miami, FL
*For the defendants/cross-claimants Putnam Lumber & Export
Co. and Mike Noble; and for the defendant/cross-
claimant/counterclaimant Putnam Family Properties, Inc,*

Daryl C. Barnes, Esq.

Sunshine Benoit, Esq.

Bryant, Barnes, Moss & Beckstedt

St. Croix, VI

Lee M. Hollis, Esq.

Stewart Andrew Kelly, Esq.

Lightfoot, Franklin & White, LLC

Birmingham, AL

For the defendant/cross-claim defendant Great Southern Wood Preserving, Inc.,

Terri L. Griffiths, Esq.

Terri Griffiths, Attorney at Law

Carbonadale, IL

For the intervenor-defendant Gary Chapin.

ORDER

GÓMEZ, C.J.

Before the Court is the motion of the defendant/cross-claimant Mike Noble ("Noble") for summary judgment on all counts asserted against him in the Complaint.

For the reasons more fully stated in the Memorandum Opinion of even date, it is hereby

ORDERED that Noble's motion for summary judgment is **GRANTED IN PART**, insofar as it seeks summary judgment with respect to Count Two of the Complaint; it is further

ORDERED that Count Two of the Complaint be and hereby is **DISMISSED** as against Noble; and it is further

ORDERED that, with respect to the remaining counts pending against Noble, Noble's motion for summary judgment is **DENIED**.

S\

Curtis V. Gómez
Chief Judge